



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,834	03/25/2004	Ray F. Barnard	END919990117US2 6741 EXAMINER	
44755 7	590 09/15/2005	•		
SHELLEY M. BECKSTRAND			MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M	
61 GLENMONT ROAD WOODLAWN, VA 24381			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/808,834	BARNARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susanna M. Diaz	3623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M	arch 2004					
_	action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 36-41</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 36-41</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<u>- </u>					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	_					
·— · ·		Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.00(a).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	priority under 25 LLC C S 110(a)) (d) or (f)				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical statement. 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/25/04.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/808,834 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 2, and 36-41 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear whether claim 40 is meant to be a process or article of manufacture claim since the preamble recites a "computer program product or computer program element," yet there is no reference to statically embodied and executable instructions. Instead, only a method is recited in the body of the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, and 36-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gundewar et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,381,610).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,834

Art Unit: 3623

Gundewar discloses a system using an on-line data gathering tool for developing an application, comprising:

[Claim 1] a server (Fig. 1, #12);

a storage device connected to said server (Fig. 1, #20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 29);

a plurality of team terminals (Fig. 1, #14, 16, 18);

a communication link interconnecting said server and said terminals (Fig. 1, #21, 30);

said server being operable for (1) maintaining a database of templates describing procedures for developing said application on said storage device and (2) serving said templates to enterprise and customer team members operating said terminals for coordinating, recording and tracking team activities with respect to said application (Fig. 1; col. 4, lines 15-51; col. 5, line 42 through col. 8, line 11 -- A project may be tracked from the planning through the completion stages and the related activities may be documented using various templates);

[Claim 2] each said template storing, either directly or by way of links to other documents, materials for guiding, coordinating and documenting the work of said team members (Fig. 1; col. 4, lines 15-51; col. 5, line 42 through col. 8, line 11 -- A project may be tracked from the planning through the completion stages and the related activities may be documented using various templates).

As per claim 1, while Gundewar discloses that the stored and created templates are used for project management applications, Gundewar fails to expressly teach that

Page 4

Art Unit: 3623

the specific type of project management applications include a general procurement and accounts payable application. However, these limitations merely recite various intended uses of the invention. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). The claimed recitations of intended use neither result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art nor in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art; therefore, the claimed invention is not deemed to be patentably distinct over the prior art. Furthermore, the Examiner submits that general procurement and accounts payable applications are commonly utilized as part of project management planning. For example, many projects are limited by constraints related to costs, including accounts payable, and the ability to obtain needed resources, i.e., general procurement. In order to more fully understand the limitations imposed on a project as a whole and the likelihood of achieving project goals, a more comprehensive analysis of all factors affecting the project is required. Since Gundewar is already directed toward project management and therefore suggests the incorporation of factors related to project management, the Examiner asserts that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify Gundewar to include a general procurement and

Art Unit: 3623

accounts payable application as part of its project management templates system in order to assist users involved with the planning of a particular project in more fully understanding the limitations imposed on a project as a whole and the likelihood of achieving project goals, thereby yielding a more comprehensive analysis of all factors affecting the project.

Gundewar discloses a system using an on-line data gathering tool for developing an application, comprising:

[Claim 36] a server (Fig. 1, #12);

a storage device connected to said server including a database of templates initially configured as an application (Fig. 1, #20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 29);

a plurality of team terminals including a service provider team terminal and a customer team terminal (Fig. 1, #14, 16, 18);

a communication link interconnecting said server and said terminals (Fig. 1, #21, 30);

[Claim 37] each said template storing, either directly or by way of links to other documents, materials for guiding, coordinating and documenting the work of said team members (Fig. 1; col. 4, lines 15-51; col. 5, line 42 through col. 8, line 11 -- A project may be tracked from the planning through the completion stages and the related activities may be documented using various templates).

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 36, while Gundewar discloses that the stored and created templates are accessed by clients via a server and various server means (col. 3, lines 9-23), used for project management applications such as tasks related to states of "Define, Analyze, Design, Produce, Optimize, Implement, and Manage" (col. 4, lines 34-51), and customizable to each user's specific needs (col. 4, lines 14-24), Gundewar fails to expressly teach that the specific type of project management applications include a general procurement and accounts payable application, including task templates for project design and development of assessment, business controls, configuration, education, image, information technology, marketing, process, requisition and catalog, accounts payable accounting and transition tasks. However, these limitations merely recite various intended uses of the invention. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). The claimed recitations of intended use neither result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art nor in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art; therefore, the claimed invention is not deemed to be patentably distinct over the prior art. Furthermore, the Examiner submits that general procurement and accounts payable applications, including task templates for project design and development of

Application/Control Number: 10/808,834 Page 7

Art Unit: 3623

assessment, business controls, configuration, education, image, information technology, marketing, process, requisition and catalog, accounts payable accounting and transition tasks, are commonly utilized as part of project management planning. For example, many projects are limited by constraints related to costs, including accounts payable, and the ability to obtain needed resources, i.e., general procurement. In order to more fully understand the limitations imposed on a project as a whole and the likelihood of achieving project goals, a more comprehensive analysis of all factors affecting the project is required. Since Gundewar is already directed toward project management and therefore suggests the incorporation of factors related to project management, the Examiner asserts that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify Gundewar to include a general procurement and accounts payable application, including task templates for project design and development of assessment, business controls, configuration, education, image, information technology, marketing, process, requisition and catalog, accounts payable accounting and transition tasks, as part of its project management templates system in order to assist users involved with the planning of a particular project in more fully understanding the limitations imposed on a project as a whole and the likelihood of achieving project goals, thereby yielding a more comprehensive analysis of all factors affecting the project.

[Claims 38-41] Claims 38-41 recite limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 36 above; therefore, the same rejection applies.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,834 Page 8

Art Unit: 3623

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna M. Diaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-6733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10 am - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susanna M. Diaz Susanna M. Diaz Primary Examiner Art Unit 3623

September 11, 2005